

From: Rudzani Makahane <rmakahane@bocma.co.za>
Sent: Monday, 19 February 2024 11:02
To: dietmar@ddkconsulting.co.za
Cc: renier@kappec.co.za
Subject: RE: Requirement for GA: Proposed Aalwyndal Storage Development on Portion A of Erf 21277_Mossel Bay_16/3/3/6/7/1/D6/29/0075/21

Greetings Team

I forgot to provide feedback last week. Your request has been granted and the GA is therefore not required.

Regards,
Rudzani Makahane

From: dietmar@ddkconsulting.co.za <dietmar@ddkconsulting.co.za>
Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 9:47 AM
To: Rudzani Makahane <rmakahane@bocma.co.za>
Cc: renier@kappec.co.za
Subject: RE: Requirement for GA: Proposed Aalwyndal Storage Development on Portion A of Erf 21277_Mossel Bay_16/3/3/6/7/1/D6/29/0075/21
Importance: High

Good Day [Rudzani](#), I hope this mail finds you well.

The compiled Risk Assessment Matrix indicated Low-Risk Significance for the anticipated impacts, although these impacts could be considered **Negligible**.

Furthermore, The majority of the anticipated impacts on the identified Channelled Valley-Bottom (CVB) Wetland are indirect and associated with the potential conveyance of contaminated water or excess sediment loads from the proposed construction activities.

Given the proposed mitigation measures are adequately implemented and audited, as included in the Risk Assessment Matrix, it is my opinion that these impacts on the Channelled Valley-Bottom (CVB) Wetland will be minimal.

Additionally, I am also of the opinion that the legislative requirement for the proposed development to register a GA in terms of the National Water Act, 1996 (Act No. 36 of 1998) for Section 21 (c) & (i) water use activities, with the BOCMA, could potentially be waived given the minimal anticipated impacts together with the significant distance (>400m) of the concerned property to the identified water resource.

This potential waiving of the requirement to register a GA should, however, be supported and confirmed by the BOCMA.

The potential waiving of the GA requirement should, however, entail the incorporation of the mitigation measures summarised in the compiled Risk Assessment Matrix into the legally binding Environmental Management Plan (EMPr) for the development proposal. The EMPr is to be submitted to the competent authority (DEADP) for approval. Additionally, this legally binding EMPr should be audited for compliance by a suitably qualified Environmental Control Officer (ECO) at intervals specified by the competent authority, once approved.

Please refer to the attached specialist report, which has been amended to reflect these opinions as mentioned above.

We eagerly await the BOCMA's feedback regarding this matter.

Warm Regards

Dietmar de Klerk (Pr.Sci.Nat)



For **DDK CONSULTING**

Cell: 078 067 4777

Email: dietmar@ddkconsulting.co.za

From: Rudzani Makahane <rmakahane@bocma.co.za>

Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 2:25 PM

To: dietmar@ddkconsulting.co.za

Cc: renier@kappec.co.za; Philisiwe Ntanzu <pntanzi@bocma.co.za>

Subject: RE: Requirement for GA: Proposed Aalwyndal Storage Development on Portion A of Erf 21277_Mossel Bay_16/3/3/6/7/1/D6/29/0075/21

Hi Dietmar

1. If the proposed project has no impact to the water resource, the application is not required.
2. If the proposed project has low impacts, a GA is applicable.
3. If the proposed project has medium to high impacts, then WULA is required.
4. The report mentions low impacts hence a GA was requested. Does the proposed project have impacts to the identified wetland?

Kind Regards,

Rudzani Makahane (Mr)

Water Use Specialist

Breede-Olifants Catchment Management Agency

101 York Street | Room 302 | 3rd floor | George

023 346 8000 | 079 2141 396

Every water related research in RSA reminds us that we are a water scarce country....

From: dietmar@ddkconsulting.co.za <dietmar@ddkconsulting.co.za>

Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 12:01 PM

To: Rudzani Makahane <rmakahane@bocma.co.za>

Cc: renier@kappec.co.za

Subject: FW: Requirement for GA: Proposed Aalwyndal Storage Development on Portion A of Erf 21277_Mossel Bay_16/3/3/6/7/1/D6/29/0075/21

Importance: High

Good Day [Rudzani](#), I hope this mail finds you well.

My prior email of 23 January 2024 concerning the requirement for a GA to be registered for the proposed Aalwyndal Storage Development on Portion A of Erf 21277 in Mossel Bay refers.

Your urgent feedback on the outlined opinion below will be greatly appreciated, given that the concerned specialist report has already been amended to reflect this change.

We only require that the BOCMA affirms this opinion for the GA requirement to be waived given the proposed management mitigation measures are adequately implemented and audited for compliance.

Warm Regards

[Dietmar de Klerk](#) (Pr.Sci.Nat)



For **DDK CONSULTING**

Cell: 078 067 4777

Email: dietmar@ddkconsulting.co.za

From: dietmar@ddkconsulting.co.za <dietmar@ddkconsulting.co.za>

Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2024 10:46 AM

To: 'rmakahane@bocma.co.za' <rmakahane@bocma.co.za>

Cc: 'renier@kappec.co.za' <renier@kappec.co.za>

Subject: Requirement for GA: Proposed Aalwyndal Storage Development on Portion A of Erf 21277_Mossel Bay_16/3/3/6/7/1/D6/29/0075/21

Importance: High

Good Day, [Rudnazi Makahane](#), I hope this mail finds you well.

The BOCMA comment on the Proposed Aalwyndal Storage Development on Portion A of Erf 21277 in Mossel Bay, attached, refers.

I am the Specialist who compiled the Aquatic Biodiversity Compliance Statement & DWS Risk Assessment Matrix for the proposed development to inform the EIA process.

It has come to my attention via the WULA specialist that BOCMA mentioned the need for a GA, as a result of the Low Impact Significance of the anticipated impacts on the identified Channelled Valley-Bottom Wetland system, could potentially be waived, given this Low Impact Significance together with the significant distance of the proposed development to this system, >380 metres.

They also mentioned that BOCMA would potentially support this waiver of the GA requirement if it was indicated in the compiled specialist report that the anticipated impacts are minimal and can be adequately mitigated by the management mitigation measures provided - hence not requiring a GA to be registered with the BOCMA.

I am also of the opinion that given the provided management mitigation measures are adequately implemented, that the potential impacts of this development on this wetland system would be absolutely minimal and should not require the need for a GA to be registered with the BOCMA.

Should the BOCMA concur with this opinion, then please confirm so that I can go forth and amend the specialist report accordingly to indicate this specifically.

Your feedback regarding this matter would be greatly appreciated!

Warm Regards

Dietmar de Klerk (Pr.Sci.Nat)



For **DDK CONSULTING**

Cell: 078 067 4777

Email: dietmar@ddkconsulting.co.za